

FILED

NOV - 8 2005

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 Russell A. Robinson, 163937
2 Law Office of Russell A. Robinson
3 345 Grove Street
4 First Floor
5 San Francisco CA 94102
6 Telephone: 415.255.0462
7 Facsimile: 415.431.4526

8 Attorney for Plaintiff
9 BRYAN BRUMFIELD

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO

12 BRYAN BRUMFIELD,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 CITY OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND FIRE
16 DEPARTMENT, FIRE CHIEF GERALD
17 SIMON, and DOES 1-100,

18 Defendants.

No. C-04-1862-MHP

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
HEARING AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE
ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND TO
ADJUST CERTAIN DEADLINES;
[Proposed] ORDER THEREON

19 THE PARTIES HERETO, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY
20 STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

21 Defendants filed their motion for summary judgment. With a hearing date of November
22 28, 2005, Plaintiff's opposition is due November 7, 2005. Plaintiff's counsel, in view of other,
23 pressing matters filed before Defendants' motion herein, requested the defense agree to move the
24 hearing to December 12, 2005, and that the new opposition deadline be November 21, 2005. The
25 defense agreed, provided the Court's calendar has the December 12, 2005, date available. The
26 defense also requested that several dates be moved to account for the new motion date, and
Plaintiff agreed to these modifications as well.


Brumfield v. City of Oakland, et al. (C-04-1862-MHP)
STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING AND
BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND TO ADJUST
CERTAIN DEADLINES: [Proposed] ORDER THEREON

P010.STTP


1 Therefore, the parties stipulate and request the following changes be made to the current
2 calendar for this case:

3 Hearing on Defendants' motion for summary judgment: December 12, 2005
4 Opposition deadline for filing and service: November 21, 2005
5 Reply deadline for filing and service: November 28, 2005
6 Last date to disclose expert witnesses: January 6, 2006
7 Last date to complete non-expert discovery: January 6, 2006

8 Date: 3/04/2005


Law Office of Russell A. Robinson
By: Russell A. Robinson
Attorneys for Plaintiff
BRYAN BRUMFIELD

11 Date: 10/31/05


Office of the City Attorney, City of Oakland
By: Stephen Q. Rowell, Deputy City Attorney
Attorneys for Defendants
CITY OF OAKLAND and GERALD SIMON

ORDER

15 Based on the above stipulation, the Court finds good cause exists to grant the request, and
16 hereby orders as follows:

17 Hearing on Defendants' motion for summary judgment: December 12, 2005, at 2:00 pm
18 Opposition deadline for filing and service: November 21, 2005
19 Reply deadline for filing and service: November 28, 2005
20 Last date to disclose expert witnesses: January 6, 2006
21 Last date to complete non-expert discovery: January 6, 2006

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Date: 11/7/05


JUDGE MARILYN HALL PATEL
United States District Court, N. Dist. Of California

25
26 [Brunfield v. City of Oakland, et al. (C-04-1862-MHP)]
STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING AND
BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND TO ADJUST
CERTAIN DEADLINES; [Proposed] ORDER THEREON